

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

ROBERT WARD GARRISON,

Plaintiff,

v.

WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF  
CORRECTIONS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. C05-5837 FDB/KLS

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF  
TIME TO RESPOND TO  
DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS TO  
DISCOVERY REQUESTS


Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion, in which Plaintiff seeks additional time to respond to Defendants' objections to his discovery requests. (Dkt. # 76). Plaintiff's motion is unnecessary. There is no timeline or authority for lodging responses to objections to discovery requests. In addition, prior to considering any discovery motions, this Court requires that the parties first engage in a good faith attempt to resolve their disputes in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 37 (2)(b). The motion must include a certification stating that the parties have done so. *Id.*

Plaintiff filed his motion on May 18, 2007. Defense counsel states that he has not contacted her to discuss these discovery issues. (Dkt. # 78, Exh. 1). For this reason alone, Plaintiff's motion should be denied.

1 Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time (Dkt. # 76) is **DENIED**.

2 The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff and counsel for Defendants.

3  
4 DATED this 28th day of June, 2007.

5  
6 

7 Karen L. Strombom  
8 United States Magistrate Judge  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26